

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,440	01/14/2002	Patrick M. Brown	542286CON	4701	
75	90 02/05/2003				
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Cabot Corporation 157 Concord Road			BOS, STEVEN J		
Billerica, MA 01821-7001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	9	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

10/047,440 Brown et al Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner 1754 Steven Bos

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the					
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a 	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of 	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 3, 20</u>	003				
	tion is non-final.				
	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-56</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-19 and 26-35	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>20-25 and 36-56</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🔀 Claims <u>1-56</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 💢 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	6) Uther:				

a consequential property of the

では、日本の日本の日本の日本の日本

The sales of the s

・ ここのはないないないという

Application/Control Number: 10/047440

Art Unit: 1754

THE RESTREET AND THE PARTY NAMED IN

そのぞうできるのかできているというないというないできます。 はガイ・こ

これのではこうとはおける地域に見るとは、大きなこと

The state of the s

514. 11年後後後の後のではない。

The same of the sa

- SERENIE CONTRACTOR

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 20-25 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would be no burden on the examiner to search Groups I-IV. This is not found persuasive because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group II or III. The search required for Group I is not required for Group IV. As evidence that Groups II and III have attained a separate status in the art and thus a different field of search the reference to Eckert '097, drawn to tantalum oxide, and the reference to Fife '275, drawn to niobium oxide, are cited. This is what is required for insisting on restriction per MPEP 808.02. The examination of the entire application would be a burden on the examiner and thus is properly restrictable per MPEP 803 since the search and examination of the entire application cannot be made without serious burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 36-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The limitations of each of new claims 36-56 are new matter. Specific support for each limitation needs to be pointed out in the instant specification.

١,

¥

were all the control of the control

2

大田北京の大田 田田子 出いた

・・ は大学するのとなる事を表を取るないです。・

41.4.

Charles of Charles and the second

=

THE PARTITION OF THE PARTY AND A

ð

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-25,36,38-46,48-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckert '097.

Eckert suggests the instantly claimed calcined tantalum pentoxide having the instantly claimed surface area and packed bulk density. See the example and claims.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical process(es) the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430. It is also noted that size of an article is not matter of invention, In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ormum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

を を ないない

. ... All Ball State Control

THE RESERVE AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSED.

.

・ なっていることのできない

おおはないまます こうべい

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-25,36-56 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15,17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,338,832.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap in scope of subject matter claimed. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to recover the product from the taught process, which product is the same as that instantly claimed, even though not identically described.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is (703) 308-2537. The examiner is on the increased flexitime program schedule and can normally be reached between 8AM and 6PM Monday through Friday. The FAX No. for After Final amendments is 703-872-9311; for all

others it is 703-872-9310. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

される とまたを発生を対する場合のできる

・サー・ アラリのはないのでは、おります

A TOTAL BERNEST BERNEST BELL SER

Steven Bos
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754